From: Moira Deeming

Sent: Saturday, 23 April 2022 7:51 PM

To:

Subject: info

Counc or Mora Deeming Meton Cty Counc

Summary of events n seek ng an answer to whether or not sex based nghts (n particular women's nghts) are able to be given precedence over gender dentity nghts under any circumstances n Victor a. The question is currently nghts under any circumstances n Victor a. The question is currently nghts under any circumstances n Victor a. The question is currently nghts under any circumstances n Victor a. The question is currently nghts under any circumstances n Victor a. The question is currently nghts under any circumstances nghts under any

- Scenar o V ctor an Government and the r var ous representatives cam that women's rights still exist in V ctor a (because sex is sted along with gender dentity in the aw)
- have spent my first year and a half as a Counc or trying to verify whether that is true or not *That saga is worth an in-depth expose' in* & *of itself* asked
  - Cty of Meton off cers & ega adv sors
  - Every single oca Government Counc in Victoria
  - V ctor a's Gender Equaty Act Comm ss oner
  - V ctor a's GBTQ + commun t es Comm ss oner
  - V ctor an Equa Opportun ty & Human R ghts Commsson's Sen or Engagement Adv ser (Change and Suppress on Practices)
  - Three private awyers one who special sed in Human Rights aw
  - a so asked v a Counc for a br ef ng w th
    the V ctor an Human R ghts Comm ss oner who refused-outr ght-to ever attend or answer
- n short State Government representatives affirmed numerous times that *in principle* gender identity *automatically* took precedence over biological sex. But they also denied that sex-based rights had been erased because sex's sted' as a protected attribute in various Victor an and Federa laws. Asked how Council was to determine which human right' took precedence in applying positive discrimination, in any given is tuation and was to discrimination. Who refused to answer as explained above. However, at a separate training briefing about Human Rights is asked the question (in general terms, without using the terms sex or gender dentity) and was informed that we were to apply a test of relevancy, replied that in that case when making policies for to etsichangerooms and other single sex services, ke maternal health sex should be treated as the most relevant human right because gender dentities, are not bological and those services are targeted at bological sex and sex characteristics (in keight pregnancy). Rather than answer meight because ended the meeting abruptity & promised to come back to answer my questions at a attendate. However, that meeting has never material sed even upon request. Ear eight had also raised the saue of region visigender dentity with the Gender and in GBTQ + Commissioners (those responses- of which hold irrefutable evidence- are totally scandalous and deserve prime time air ng).
- Ut mate y have been forced to esca ate my demand for \*pub c\* answers a the way up to the Governor of V ctor a (who has yet to answer) dd this via the following Notice of Motion which is significant because the answer will either reinstate sex based rights across V ctor a (as EVERYONE will mimediately following by owing succeeding the total resurrection of sex based rights in this State total resurrection of sex based rights in finitely and they are avoiding doing so which is a HUGE scanda!

Cty of Meton Counc Meeting 7<sup>h</sup> March 2022 (Time stamp 1 40 54 watch here)

NOT CE OF MOT ON 801- Proposed by Cr Mora Deeming seconded by

That Council officers in consultation with the Victorian Government Solicitor's Office (VGSO), prepare a report clarifying whether it is lawful to apply the "special measures" or "general exceptions" provisions under Victorian anti-discrimination laws to ensure biological females are not the subject of indirect discrimination that occurs from failure to differentiate between biological males and females where sex characteristics are relevant (such as in the provision of toilets, changerooms etc) and where failure to differentiate places biological females at a disadvantage. That this report, being urgently necessary, be provided to Councillors as soon as possible.

## Passed 7-2

Counc ors who voted n favour of the mot on

•



Counc ors who voted against the motion

•

Counc ors who absta ned

•

## **Cr Moira Deeming**

Watts Ward Councillor | Melton City Council

**M**: 0499 801 198

E: moira.deeming@melton.vic.gov.au | W: melton.vic.gov.au